

Sr.	Description	HAZUS PARAMETERS										VULNERABILITY PARAMETERS					STRUCTURAL IDENTIFICATION			Reference	Analytical Approach	Procedure Name
		Dy	Ay	Du	Au	Sdc	BE	kshort	kmed	klong	L15	B14	B14	Pc	Natural Period	Ductility Factor	Strength Reduction Factor	Failure Mode	Vertical Structure	Horizontal Structure	Pager Structure Type	
1	Non-Ductile Reinforced Con 0.044 m	0.17g	0.6 m	0.2g	0.83 m	0.05	0.5	0.3	0.1	10%	0.6 m	25%	0.96	14	5	Flexural failure of IRC Frame design:Rigid Diaphragm	C3	Kaushik, H.B., R Capacity Spectrum Me ATC40 Method				
2	Non-Ductile Reinforced Con 0.015 m	0.75g	0.06 m	1.5g	0.62 m	0.05	0.5	0.3	0.1	10%	0.62 m	10%	0.28	6	5	Failure of I storey RC Frame design:Rigid Diaphragm	C3	Kaushik, H.B., R Capacity Spectrum Me ATC40 Method				
3	Non-Ductile Reinforced Con 0.024 m	0.15g	0.47 m	0.2g	0.47 m	0.05	0.5	0.3	0.1	10%	0.47 m	100%	0.8	13	5	Shear failure of I RC Frame design:Rigid Diaphragm	C3	Kaushik, H.B., R Capacity Spectrum Me ATC40 Method				
4	Non-Ductile Reinforced Con 0.003 m	0.23g	0.018 m	0.73g	0.026 m (at fail)	0.05	0.5	0.3	0.1	10%	0.026 m	20%	0.25	13	5	Failure of I storey RC Frame design:Rigid Diaphragm	C3	Bhattacharya, S. Capacity Spectrum Me ATC40 Method				
5	Non-Ductile Reinforced Con 0.004 m	0.1g	0.092 m	0.4g	0.092 m	0.05	0.5	0.3	0.1	10%	0.092 m	100%	0.45	21	5	Shear failure of I's RC Frame design:Rigid Diaphragm	C3	Bhattacharya, S. Capacity Spectrum Me ATC40 Method				

From Table 5.18 of HAZUS Technical Manual
for Building Type C3M

Table 13.7
Severity 4

These results were based on analytical simulations of typical reinforced concrete buildings constructed in India. There were limitations in the program used to generate these data points along with modeling assumptions (reinforced concrete and masonry elements).

Therefore, behaviour and performance of actual structures may be different than these analytically obtained values.