WHE-PAGER PHASE 2: DEVELOPMENT OF ANALYTICAL SEISMIC VULNERABILITY FUNCTIONS

Author:	Hemant B. kaushik
Date:	10-Jul-09
Structure type (describe as broadly as possible):	C3 Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frame with Masonry Infill Walls in all Storeys
Geographic or other limitations:	Northern India , Modern Building Construction
	The building was originally designed without considering strength and stiffness of masonry infills. However, in pushover analysis m Add rows as desired

Choice of pushover curve parameters

	Units	Parameter	
Pushover X-axis:	Sd(m)		Choose spectral displacement (Sd); or Roof displacement (Deltar). State units
Pushover Y-axis:	Sa(g)		Choose spectra acceleration (Sa); or base shear (V). State units.
Elastic damping ratio:	0.05		Small-amplitude damping ratio, fraction of critical
1st mode participation factor:	1.3		PFfR; generally 1.3 to 1.5; same as (effective height)/(total roof height)
Effective mass coefficient:	0.91		alpha1; generally 0.7 to 0.8
Building weight:	1640 kN	Weight of the	W State units
How were these values & pushover points derived?	Based on analytical simulations of an intermediate frame of a four storey building. Actual performace of real buildings may be different.		
	Ref: Kaushik, H.B., Rai, D.C., and Jain, S.K. (2009), "Effectiveness of some strengthening options for masonry-infilled RC frames v Add rows as desired		

Pushover Curve for this structure type

See Figures 1-4 for sample pushover curves

Pushover curve control point	X	Y	Damping	Comment	
A	0	0	0.055	Damping at P	Control point for plotting purposes
B	0.015	0.75		Yield Point	E.g., yield point?
C	0.063	1.5		Ultimate Point	E.g., ultimate point?
D	0.07	0.26		Beginning of l	E.g., beginning of lower plateau?
E	0.19	0.26		Lower Plateau	Add rows as desired
	0.62	0.28		Collapse	

Optional: upper and lower-bound range of pushover curves for this structure type

Upper-bound pushover curve, e.g., 99 out of 100 buildings of this type would have pushover curve inside the area bounded between this curve and the Y-axis?
Author's meaning of "upper bound":
How were these values & pushover points derived?

Add rows as desired

See Figures 1-4 for sample pushover curves

Optional upper-bound pushover curve

Pushover curve control point	X	Y	Damping	Comment	
A	0	0			Control point for plotting purposes
B					E.g., yield point?
C					E.g., ultimate point?
D					E.g., beginning of lower plateau?
E					Add rows as desired

Lower-bound pushover curve, e.g., 99 out of 100 buildings of this type would have pushover curve inside the area bounded between this curve and the X-axis?
Author's meaning of "lower bound":
How were these values & pushover points derived?

Add rows as desired

See Figures 1-4 for sample pushover curves

Optional lower-bound pushover curve

Pushover curve control point	X	Y	Damping	Comment	
A	0	0			Control point for plotting purposes
B					E.g., yield point?
C					E.g., ultimate point?
D					E.g., beginning of lower plateau?
E					Add rows as desired

Other requested parameters

D14		median drift (in same units as pushover X-axis) associated with complete structural damage, i.e., drift with 50% chance that the structural component of the building cannot be economically repaired
B14		logarithmic standard deviation of drift associated with complete structural damage. May need to be guessed
Sdc		the median value of drift (in same units as pushover X-axis) associated with collapse, e.g., Sdc = (roof drift at collapse)/PFfR.
L15		indoor fatality rate given collapse. Many contributors may be unable to provide this value. Porter, Comartin, and Holmes will fill such gaps
PC		mean fraction of building area collapsed, given complete structural damage. Again Porter, Comartin, and Holmes will fill gaps
kshort		If HAZUS-style damping preferred, and author can judge, this is the degradation factor for short-duration (M <= 5.5) events
kmed		If HAZUS-style damping preferred, and author can judge, this is the degradation factor for medium-duration (5.5 < M < 7.5) events
klong		If HAZUS-style damping preferred, and author can judge, this is the degradation factor for long-duration (M >= 7.5) events

Explain how these values were arrived at, providing citations if appropriate

Add rows as desired


Spectral accel., g
1.6
1.4
1.2
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
0
0.5
1
Best est
Upper
Lower
Spectral displ., Sd, m



Figure 1: Force-displacement capacity boundary with all idealized segments present



Figure 2: Force-displacement capacity boundary without strain hardening segment (e.g. buckling braced frame)



Figure 3: Force-displacement capacity boundary without lower strength plateau (e.g. unreinforced masonry)



Figure 4: Force-displacement capacity boundary with pre-emptive vertical load failure