Earthquake-safe Buildings

# Article 15. Why Buildings Pound Each Other during Earthquakes

Have you ever travelled on crowded public transport, perhaps a bus, or a train? You might be standing close to others but not touching them. However, when the bus changes speed or direction, everyone moves, causing you to bump into the passenger next to you.

Something like this happens during earthquakes. When the ground shakes, buildings amplify the shaking. But buildings don’t shake together, or in-phase. Each building is different, and moves differently during an earthquake. Each building has a different frequencies of vibration at which it resonates. To-and-fro movements intensify differently for each building, increasing up each building’s height.

If buildings are constructed too close together or against one another, when the ground shakes, each building vibrates differently. The buildings pound their neighbours, sometimes causing serious damage (Figures 1 and 2). An online search for images of “earthquake building pounding”, reveals many instances of pounding damage during various earthquakes around the world.

A picture containing text, outdoor object, dark

Description automatically generated

Figure 1. Two buildings with an insufficiently wide seismic gap pounding each other during an earthquake.
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Figure 2. Two buildings have pounded each other with one far more seriously damaged than the other.

The solution to preventing pounding between new buildings is straightforward – build back inside your boundaries, except along a street frontage. The gaps along the sides and back of your building need to be wide enough so that during an earthquake your building doesn’t move over its boundary and crash into your neighbours’ buildings (Figure 3). Provision of these gaps is standard practice in many cities worldwide.
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Figure 3. A plan of a building (1) within its boundaries (2) and on a street (3). On three sides the building is built back from the boundaries by the width of a seismic gap (4).

How wide do these gaps, usually referred to as “seismic separation gaps”, need to be? It depends on the building’s height and its flexibility. For the most flexible building allowed by earthquake codes the gap to the boundary is about 2% of the building height. For a four-story building this is about 240 mm. A narrower seismic gap is feasible if the civil engineer designs a stiffer building; for example, with larger columns and beams, or longer structural walls. When adjacent buildings are closeby, gaps are covered by flexible flashings (Figures 4 and 5).
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Figure 4. Two buildings separated by a seismic gap covered by a flexible flashing.
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Figure 5. A close-up of the flashing covering the seismic gap.

It is very difficult to prevent pounding between existing buildings with narrow separation gaps or none at all during a strong earthquake. If the floor levels of adjacent buildings align, the pounding of floor slabs against each other is less serious than where floors of adjacent buildings are not at the same level. In this case, the floor slabs of one building can seriously damage adjacent columns of the other building. One solution is to provide new ‘back-up’ columns in case the outer and more vulnerable columns are damaged.

## About this article series:

This is a series of articles about earthquakes, their effects on buildings, and how to ensure that buildings are safe against earthquakes. They are intended for potential owners of new houses and larger buildings and others involved in the building industry. The articles are written by Andrew Charleson and colleagues from the World Housing Encyclopedia (http://www.world-housing.net/) which is sponsored by the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute (https://www.eeri.org/) and the International Association of Earthquake Engineering (http://www.iaee.or.jp/). If required, articles are translated and content may be modified by local experts to suit local conditions.
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